

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claim Rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 1 and 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being un-patentable over Kivipuro et al. (Pub. No. 2002/0062361; hereinafter “Kivipuro”) in view of Nii (Pub. No. 2002/0065730; hereinafter “Nii”). Claims 2-3 and 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being un-patentable over Kivipuro in view of Nii and further in view of Ketola (Patent No. 6,112,099; hereinafter “Ketola”).

The present application enables a user of a wireless terminal to reserve some content at one access point to be picked up, i.e., downloaded, some time later *at another access point of the user's choosing*. The second access point for picking up the reserved content is different from the first access point for making the reservation. This is especially beneficial to users who move from access point to access point with their wireless terminals, because these users do not need to wait at one particular access point for a long time in order for the desired content to finish downloading. By reserving the content some time ahead, by the time the user reaches the second access point, i.e., the pick-up access point, the content has already been downloaded and is ready for pick up.

Thus, at the first access point, i.e., the reservation access point, the user specifies information such as the desired content to be picked up, *the location of the pick-up access point*, and the identification information that may be used to identify the particular user at the pick-up access point.

While the user is traveling from the reservation access point to the pick-up access point, the information the user has inputted at the reservation access point is transmitted to the pick-up access point, and the pick-up access point retrieves the desired content for the user.

When the user reaches the pick-up access point, the desired content has already been retrieved and is ready for pick up. The user enters the correct identification information to the pick-up access point to pick up the reserved content.

It is respectfully submitted that the cited references do not disclose all the limitations recited in amended independent claims 1, 4, 5, and 6.

Specifically, with respect to claim 1, the cited references, either individually or in combination, does not disclose at least the following recited limitation: “an input module that inputs content identification information for identifying the selected content for pickup, *location specification information for specifying a location outside the radio communication area of said first access point as a pickup location of the selected content*, and receiver identification information for identifying a person who picks up the selected content, through an access from said terminal entering the radio communication area of first access point.”

The outstanding office action indicates that Kivipuro, at Abstract, paragraphs [0010]-[0013], [0038]-[0039], and [0050], discloses this limitation.

Kivipuro describes systems and methods of providing contents to wireless communication devices in such a way that the contents may be easily tailored to different wireless communication devices. With Kivipuro, contents are formed by content components and content packets and adapted for the properties of the wireless communication devices. (See Kivipuro, paragraphs [0010]-[0013] for details.) The user may select the content packet to be downloaded by specifying various types of information, such as content description, content packet title, provider and compiler of the data packet, system specification, data type, number of components included, control data, sales/distribution time, etc. (See Kivipuro, paragraph [0033] for details.)

However, nowhere in Kivipuro discloses that the user is able to *specify a particular location* where the user downloads the contents. For example, paragraph [0038] of Kivipuro describes that, “In the mobile communication network 2, the data transmission packets are preferably transmitted by means of a packet network 7 to a base station subsystem 10, whose base station communicates with the wireless communication device 5a-5c to transmit the data transmission packets to the wireless communication device.”

For above reasons, claim 1 is patentably distinct from the cited references. Independent claims 4, 5, and 6 recite similar languages as in claim 1, and thus are patentably distinct from the cited references for at least the same reasons.

Dependent claims 2-5 and 7-8 directly or indirectly depend from claims 1 and 6 respectively and are therefore respectfully submitted to be patentable over the art of record for at least the reasons set forth above with respect to the independent claims. Further, these

dependent claims recite additional limitations that when considered in the context of the claimed invention further patentably distinguish the art of record.

CONCLUSION

Applicants respectfully submit that all pending claims are in proper form and are in condition for allowance, and request a Notification of Allowance to that effect. It is believed that no fee is due at this time. Should any fee be required for any reason related to this document, however, then the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge said fee to Deposit Account No. 50-0388, referencing Docket No. MES1P076. The Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned attorney at the telephone number listed below with any questions or concerns relating to this document or application.

Respectfully submitted,
BEYER WEAVER LLP

/Bernadette Lee/
Bernadette J. Lee
Reg. No.: 60,298

P.O. Box 70250
Oakland, CA 94612-0250
(408) 255-8001